

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,665	02/25/2004	Mario Rabinowitz	2979	
41857	7590 01/25/2005		EXAMINER	
MARIO RABINOWITZ			TRA, TUYEN Q	
715 LAKEMEAD WAY REDWOOD CITY, CA 94062			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1.25 005			2873	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/786,665

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant 's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2-5, 7-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10 and 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rabinowitz et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,738,176 A).

The applied reference has a common inventor (Rabinowitz) with instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by showing under CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another", or by and appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

a) With respect to claims 1 and 5, Rabinowitz et al. discloses a dynamic multi-wavelength switching ensemble in figure 5 comprising a) an array of rotatable mirrored balls (item 1, Fig. 1) for concentrating solar energy are embedded in the sheet (Fig. 5); b) the array disposed behind an optically transmissive surface (item 14, Fig. 5); c) each of the mirrored balls (1) encapsulated in surrounding medium of an optically transmissive

Application/Control Number: 10/786,665

Art Unit: 2873

fluid (item 18); and d) electric coupling means (i.e. a resistive grid 15 on the bottom to locally produce varying mini-electric fields) to rotate the mirrored balls within the sheet.

- b) With respect to claims 3, 4, 7, 8, Rabinowitz et al. further discloses wherein fluid is a lubricant or dielectric fluid.
- c) With respect to claims 9, 12, 13, 15, 16 and 19, it should be noted that although claims 9, 19 are "method claims", the method steps consist of the broad steps of "holding", "introducing" etc and therefore these steps would be inherently satisfied by the apparatus of the reference '176 as modified.
- d) With respect to claim 10, Rabinowitz et al. further discloses wherein at least one tray holds the mirrored balls in place in the sheet during its formation.
- e) With respect to claims 14, 17 and 18, Rabinowitz et al. further discloses wherein the index of refraction of at least one of the fluids approximately matches that of the sheet (5, Fig. 12); wherein the density of at least one of the fluid approximately matches that of the mirrored balls; wherein at least one of the fluid is lubricated; wherein at least one of the infiltrating fluids is vaporously removed; wherein the ratio of the volume of the material of the sheet (5) to the volume of the mirrored balls is greater than a factor of 2.
- f) With respect to claims 20-23, Rabinowitz et al. further discloses wherein a random dispersion of rotatable mirrored balls (item 2) are encapsulated in the sheet; wherein the mirrored balls (2) are precoated prior to being embedded in the sheet (5); herein the mirrored balls are asymmetrically closer to the top of the sheet (5) than to the bottom; wherein the sheet is constructed of laminar film.

Art Unit: 2873

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 11 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The reason for the indication of allowable subject matter is that (claim 11) at least one pillar support said sheet to enhance fluid access during the sheet infiltration and expansion process; (claim 24) zeolytes are in the fluid bath to help keep it dean and deionized disclosed in the claims is not found in the prior art.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyen Tra whose telephone number is (571) 272-2343. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps, can be reached on (571) 272 - 2328. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

tt

January 7, 2005

Hung Kuan Dang
This topy Framine?